# Lactonization Products of 2-[(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethynyl]-3methoxybenzoic Acid

KEVIN L. EVANS

Department of Chemistry, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061-0212, USA

FRANK R. FRONCZEK

Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803-1804, USA

**RICHARD D. GANDOUR\*** 

Department of Chemistry, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061-0212, USA

(Received 20 September 1993; accepted 21 March 1994)

#### Abstract

Structural analyses of 2-[(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)ethynyl]-3-methoxybenzoic acid, (1), and the two products of lactonization, 3-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-5-methoxy-1H-2benzopyran-1-one, (2), and (Z)-3-[(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)methylene]-4-methoxy-1(3H)-isobenzofuranone, (3), three isomers of  $C_{18}H_{16}O_5$ , suggest an explanation for the favored pathway for lactonization. The two rings in (1) form a dihedral angle of 84.30 (4)°. The carboxy group is nearly coplanar with the benzoate ring with an O = C - C - C torsion angle of  $-3.7 (2)^{\circ}$ . The triplebond length is 1.191 (2) Å and the bond angles at the ethynyl C atoms are 176.4 (1) and  $171.0 (1)^\circ$ , both significantly distorted from 180°. The benzopyran ring and the dimethoxyphenyl ring in (2) form a dihedral angle of 58.90 (5)°. The pyrano C=C double-bond length is 1.324 (2) Å. Distortions appear in the two exocyclic angles [112.1 (1) and 127.3 (2)°] about the aryl substituted pyrano C atom. The isobenzofuran ring and the dimethoxyphenyl ring in (3) form a dihedral angle of  $120.83(5)^{\circ}$ . The exocyclic C=C double-bond length is 1.323 (2) Å. Distortions appear in the benzenoid angles at the C atoms adjacent to the ring fusion [117.5 (1) and  $116.7(1)^{\circ}$  and in two of the three angles [121.2(1),131.00 (9) and 107.7 (1)°] about the furano C atom of the exocyclic C = C double bond.

#### Comment

Depending on the reaction conditions, compound (1) lactonizes to yield either the benzopyranone (2) or isobenzofuranone (3) (Evans *et al.*, 1994). Baldwin's rules (Baldwin, 1976) for cyclization allow lactonization of (1) to occur by either the 6-*endo*-dig or 5-*exo*-dig process

© 1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved yielding (2) or (3), respectively. Baldwin (1976) states that an attacking nucleophile (Nu) will approach an alkyne with an Nu····C==C angle of 60°. Subsequent computational studies (Dykstra, Arduengo & Fukunage, 1978; Eisenstein, Procter & Dunitz, 1978; Elliot & Richards, 1982; Houk, Rondan, Schleyer, Kaufmann & Clark, 1985; Strozier, Caramella & Houk, 1979; Ivanchikova, Usubalieva, Schastnev, Moroz & Shvartsberg, 1992) suggest an obtuse angle of approach. Structural analyses of (1), (2) and (3) provide insight into which is the favored path.



2-[(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethynyl]-3-methoxybenzoic acid, (1), synthesized in an 83% yield by saponification of the methyl ester (Evans, Prince, Huang, Boss & Gandour, 1990) in methanol/water buffered at pH = 11 by  $K_2CO_3/KOH$ , (Evans, Oliver, Rosas-García & Gandour, 1994) in the presence of palladium, lactonizes to 3-(2,6dimethoxyphenyl)-5-methoxy-1*H*-2-benzopyran-1-one, (2), in an 81% yield (Evans *et al.*, 1994), but in refluxing phosphate buffer at pH 7, it lactonizes to (*Z*)-3-[(2,6dimethoxyphenyl)methylene]-4-methoxy-1(3*H*)-isobenzofuranone, (3), in a 62% yield (Evans *et al.*, 1994).

The carboxy group in (1) is nearly coplanar with the benzenoid ring with a C9—C14—C15—O4 torsion angle of  $-3.7 (2)^{\circ}$ , which contrasts with 47.64 (6)° for the corresponding torsion angle in the methyl ester of (1) (Evans, Horn, Fronczek & Gandour, 1990). This coplanarity in (1) orients the *anti* lone pair of the carbonyl O atom O4 directly at the C=C bond, placing O4 (van der Waals radius 1.40 Å) and the ethynyl C atom C8 (van der Waals radius 1.78 Å) within the sum (3.18 Å) of their van der Waals radii. This close O4…C8 distance [2.785 (2) Å], which is the path to (3), causes the C7—C8—C9 angle to bend to 171.0 (1)°. The O4…C7 distance, which is the path to (2), is 3.347 (2) Å. The angles of approach are 107.8 (1)° for O4…C8=C7 and 52.4 (1)° for O4…C7=C8. The former angle agrees



Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) drawing of (1), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 40% probability level for non-H atoms. H atoms are drawn as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

with computational studies, but the latter angle agrees with the value proposed by Baldwin (1976).

The O4—C7 bond length in (2) is 1.393 (2) Å, which represents a 1.954 (3) Å decrease compared with (1); the O4-C7-C8 bond angle is 120.7 (1)°, which represents the expected increase from the Baldwin approach angle to 120°, the ideal trigonal angle. The other two angles about C7, however, show considerable distortions from 120°. Repulsion between the dimethoxyphenyl ring and the vinyl H atom H8 increases the C6-C7-C8 bond angle to 127.3 (2)° and decreases the O4-C7-C6 bond angle to 112.1 (1)°. The corresponding bond angles in the structures of 3-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1Hbenzopyran-1-one (Prince, Miller, Fronczek & Gandour, 1989) and 3-(2,6-dihydroxyphenyl)-1H-2-benzopyran-1one (Huang, Evans, Fronczek & Gandour, 1992) also show this distortion. From this analysis it can be seen that the 6-endo-dig lactonization involves considerable changes in the positions of O4, C7 and C8 and distortions in the bond angles about the atom under attack.



Fig. 2. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) drawing of (2), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 40% probability level for non-H atoms. H atoms are drawn as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

The O4—C8 bond length in (3) is 1.402 (1) Å, which represents a 1.383 (2) Å decrease compared with (1); the O4—C8—C7 bond angle is 121.2 (1)°, which represents a small increase [13.4 (2)°] from the approach angle to the trigonal angle, as expected. The five-membered ring, however, distorts the benzene ring of isobenzofuranone. The bond angles C9—C10—C11 and C12—C13—C14 are 117.5 (1) and 116.7 (1)°, respectively. This distortion is also observed in the structure of (Z)-3-(phenylmethylene)-11(3H)-isobenzofuranone (Wang, Cheng, Lin, Koh & Yang, 1985). From this analysis it can be seen that the 5-exo-dig lactonization involves moderate changes in the positions of O4, C7 and C8 and similar magnitudes of distortions in bond angles.

Compound (2) is more stable than (3) (Evans *et al.*, 1994). The 5-*exo*-dig lactonization of (1) is the kinetic pathway while the 6-*endo*-dig lactonization is the thermodynamic pathway. In as much as the crystal structure

of (1) represents the structure in solution of the carboxylate ion of (1), the starting material is predisposed to lactonize to (3) because smaller changes in atomic positions occur when comparing (1) and (3) than (1) and (2), *viz.*, the principle of least nuclear motion (for a review see Sinnott, 1988). For lactonization, computations (Ivanchikova *et al.*, 1992) favor 5-*exo*-dig as the minimum-energy pathway. Here, the intramolecular reaction follows a 'response' pathway (Bürgi & Dunitz, 1983), which is also a minimum-energy pathway.



Fig. 3. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) drawing of (3), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 40% probability level for non-H atoms. H atoms are drawn as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å Cell parameters from 25

reflections  $\theta = 12 - 17^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 K

Rhombic prism

Colorless

 $0.50 \times 0.48 \times 0.42$  mm

from ethyl acetate

Crystal source: crystallized

## Experimental

**Compound (1)** Crystal data

C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O <sub>5</sub>
$M_r = 312.3$
Monoclinic
$P2_{1}/c$
a = 7.9263 (7) Å
b = 8.0539 (9) Å
c = 24.315 (2) Å
$\beta = 97.859 \ (7)^{\circ}$
V = 1537.6 (5) Å <sup>3</sup>
Z = 4
$D_x = 1.349 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4	$R_{\rm int} = 0.010$
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 30^{\circ}$
$\omega$ –2 $\theta$ scans	$h = 0 \rightarrow 11$
Absorption correction:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 11$
none	$l = -34 \rightarrow 33$
5036 measured reflections	3 standard reflections
4455 independent reflections	frequency: 167 min
3095 observed reflections	intensity decay: <2%
$[l > 3\sigma(l)]$	

#### Refinement

Refinement on F  $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.25 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ R = 0.043  $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.06 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

#### K. L. EVANS, F. R. FRONCZEK AND R. D. GANDOUR

wR = 0.053 S = 2.7853095 reflections 273 parameters All H-atom parameters refined  $w = 4F_o^2 / [\sigma^2(I) + (0.02F_o^2)^2]$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.02$ 

## Compound (2)

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{18}H_{16}O_5\\ M_r = 312.3\\ Triclinic\\ P\bar{1}\\ a = 7.7197 \ (6) \ Å\\ b = 9.6126 \ (8) \ Å\\ c = 11.9115 \ (10) \ Å\\ \alpha = 110.548 \ (6)^\circ\\ \beta = 101.151 \ (7)^\circ\\ \gamma = 103.454 \ (7)^\circ\\ V = 767.4 \ (4) \ Å^3\\ Z = 2\\ D_x = 1.352 \ \mathrm{Mg \ m^{-3}} \end{array}$ 

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer  $\omega$ -2 $\theta$  scans Absorption correction: empirical  $T_{min} = 0.914, T_{max} =$ 0.998 3155 measured reflections 3155 independent reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on F R = 0.045 wR = 0.070 S = 4.012 2703 reflections 273 parameters All H-atom parameters refined w =  $4F_o^2/[\sigma^2(l) + (0.02F_o^2)^2]$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.02$ 

## Compound (3)

Crystal data C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>5</sub>  $M_r = 312.2$ Triclinic  $P\overline{1}$  a = 6.8297 (4) Å b = 10.4824 (10) Å c = 12.2123 (7) Å  $\alpha = 69.510$  (7)°  $\beta = 76.924$  (5)°  $\gamma = 76.058$  (7)° Extinction correction:  $(1 + gI_c)^{-1}$  applied to  $F_c$ Extinction coefficient:  $g = 6.2 (11) \times 10^{-7}$ Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV)

Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å Cell parameters from 25 reflections  $\theta = 25-30^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.78 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 296 KFragment  $0.30 \times 0.28 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$ Colorless Crystal source: crystallized from acetonitrile

2703 observed reflections  $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$   $\theta_{max} = 75^{\circ}$   $h = 0 \rightarrow 9$   $k = -12 \rightarrow 11$   $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 167 min intensity decay: <2%

 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.36 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.15 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: (1 + gI<sub>c</sub>)<sup>-1</sup> applied to F<sub>c</sub> Extinction coefficient: g = 1.20 (8) × 10<sup>-5</sup> Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV)

Cu Ka radiation
$\lambda = 1.5418$ Å
7 = 1.5+10 A
Cell parameters from 25
reflections
$\theta = 25 - 30^{\circ}$
$\mu = 0.76 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 297  K
Fragment
$0.42 \times 0.40 \times 0.20$ mm
Colorless

$V = 785.12 (7) \text{ Å}^3$	
Z = 2	
$D_x = 1.321 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	

#### Data collection

Enrat–N	onius C.	AD-4	
diffrac	tometer		
$\omega$ –2 $\theta$ sca	ans		
Absorpti	on corre	ection:	
empiri	cal		
$T_{\min} =$	0.883,	$T_{\rm max}$	=
0.999			
3109 me	asured r	eflecti	ons
3109 ind	epender	nt refle	ections
	•		

#### Refinement

Refinement on F	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0$
R = 0.041	$\Delta \rho_{\min} = -$
wR = 0.066	Extinction
S = 4.181	$(1 + gI_c)$
2816 reflections	Extinction
273 parameters	g = 1.24
All H-atom parameters	Atomic sc
refined	from In
$w = 4F_o^2 / [\sigma^2(I) + (0.02F_o^2)^2]$	for X-ra
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.05$	(1974, \

Crystal source: crystallized from methylene chloride

2816 observed reflections  $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$   $\theta_{max} = 75^{\circ}$   $h = 0 \rightarrow 8$   $k = -12 \rightarrow 13$   $l = -14 \rightarrow 15$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 167 min intensity decay: <3%

 $\begin{aligned} &\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.21 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ &\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.14 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ &\text{Extinction correction:} \\ &(1 + gl_c)^{-1} \text{ applied to } F_c \\ &\text{Extinction coefficient:} \\ &g = 1.24 (7) \times 10^{-5} \\ &\text{Atomic scattering factors} \\ &\text{from International Tables} \\ &for X-ray Crystallography \\ &(1974, \text{Vol. IV}) \end{aligned}$ 

## Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)

$\boldsymbol{B}_{\text{eq}} = (8\pi^2/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$							
x	у	z	Bea				
ound (1)			•				
0.4693 (1)	0.2656 (2)	0.74183 (4)	4.44 (2)				
-0.0810(1)	0.1414 (1)	0.65684 (3)	3.65 (2)				
0.1339(1)	0.6514 (1)	0.60418 (4)	4.24 (2				
0.4139 (1)	0.1160 (2)	0.54671 (4)	5.44 (3				
0.4186 (2)	0.1644 (2)	0.45752 (4)	6.23 (3)				
0.3189 (2)	0.1913 (2)	0.74752 (5)	3.17 (3				
0.2837 (2)	0.1151 (2)	0.79601 (5)	3.81 (3				
0.1252 (2)	0.0479 (2)	0.79757 (5)	4.07 (3)				
-0.0007 (2)	0.0537 (2)	0.75240 (6)	3.76 (3)				
0.0342 (2)	0.1289 (2)	0.70384 (5)	2.94 (3)				
0.1947 (2)	0.1978 (2)	0.70038 (4)	2.71 (2				
0.2279 (2)	0.2778 (2)	0.65010 (4)	2.85 (2)				
0.2468 (2)	0.3417 (2)	0.60721 (4)	2.88 (2)				
0.2471 (1)	0.4351 (2)	0.55676 (4)	2.81 (2)				
0.1800 (2)	0.5962 (2)	0.55539 (5)	3.32 (3				
0.1599 (2)	0.6883 (2)	0.50673 (6)	4.24 (3)				
0.2087 (2)	0.6225 (2)	0.45924 (5)	4.71 (4)				
0.2808 (2)	0.4684 (2)	0.45978 (5)	4.21 (3)				
0.3011 (2)	0.3721 (2)	0.50786 (5)	3.19 (3)				
0.3809 (2)	0.2070(2)	0.50573 (5)	3.67 (3)				
0.5988 (2)	0.2649 (3)	0.78918 (6)	5.09 (4)				
-0.2504 (2)	0.0829 (3)	0.65945 (6)	5.28 (4)				
0.0673 (2)	0.8155 (3)	0.60619 (7)	5.25 (4)				
ound (2)							
0.3538 (2)	0.7764 (1)	0.3672(1)	5.56 (3)				
0.8688 (2)	0.6586(1)	0.54049 (9)	5.19 (3)				
0.7599 (2)	0.9564 (1)	0.1990(1)	6.39 (3)				
0.6989 (2)	0.8305(1)	0.31651 (9)	4.40 (2)				
0.7031 (2)	0.2945(1)	0.09225 (9)	5.00 (3)				
0.4573 (2)	0.7721 (2)	0.4716(1)	4.27 (3)				
0.4153 (2)	0.8111 (2)	0.5846(1)	5.03 (4)				
0.5260 (2)	0.7971 (2)	0.6827(1)	5.44 (4)				
0.6771 (3)	0.7459 (2)	0.6725(1)	5.21 (4)				
0.7212 (2)	0.7085 (2)	0.5598 (1)	4.24 (3)				
0.6125 (2)	0.7233 (2)	0.4584 (1)	3.96 (3)				
0.6636 (2)	0.6964 (2)	0.3404 (1)	3.91 (3)				
	$B_{eq} = \frac{x}{0.4693}$ bund (1) 0.4693 (1) -0.0810 (1) 0.1339 (1) 0.4139 (1) 0.4139 (1) 0.4139 (2) 0.2837 (2) 0.1252 (2) -0.0007 (2) 0.0342 (2) 0.1252 (2) -0.0007 (2) 0.2279 (2) 0.2468 (2) 0.2471 (1) 0.1509 (2) 0.2808 (2) 0.2087 (2) 0.2808 (2) 0.3011 (2) 0.3809 (2) 0.3809 (2) 0.5988 (2) -0.2504 (2) 0.6733 (2) 0.4573 (2) 0.4573 (2) 0.4573 (2) 0.4573 (2) 0.5260 (2) 0.5260 (2) 0.6125 (2) 0.6125 (2) 0.6636 (2)	$B_{eq} = (8\pi^2/3)\Sigma_i \Sigma_j U_i$ x y ound (1) 0.4693 (1) 0.2656 (2) -0.0810 (1) 0.1414 (1) 0.1339 (1) 0.6514 (1) 0.4139 (1) 0.1160 (2) 0.4186 (2) 0.1644 (2) 0.3189 (2) 0.1913 (2) 0.2837 (2) 0.1151 (2) 0.1252 (2) 0.0479 (2) -0.0007 (2) 0.0537 (2) 0.0342 (2) 0.1289 (2) 0.1947 (2) 0.1788 (2) 0.2279 (2) 0.2778 (2) 0.2468 (2) 0.3417 (2) 0.2468 (2) 0.3417 (2) 0.2468 (2) 0.3417 (2) 0.2468 (2) 0.3417 (2) 0.2468 (2) 0.3417 (2) 0.2468 (2) 0.3417 (2) 0.2468 (2) 0.3417 (2) 0.2468 (2) 0.3417 (2) 0.2087 (2) 0.6683 (2) 0.0087 (2) 0.6225 (2) 0.3809 (2) 0.2070 (2) 0.5988 (2) 0.26484 (2) 0.3011 (2) 0.3721 (2) 0.3989 (2) 0.2070 (2) 0.5988 (2) 0.2649 (3) -0.2504 (2) 0.0829 (3) 0.0673 (2) 0.8155 (3) bund (2) 0.7031 (2) 0.2945 (1) 0.6989 (2) 0.8305 (1) 0.7031 (2) 0.2945 (1) 0.4573 (2) 0.7721 (2) 0.4153 (2) 0.711 (2) 0.5260 (2) 0.7971 (2) 0.6771 (3) 0.7459 (2) 0.6125 (2) 0.7233 (2) 0.6636 (2) 0.6964 (2)	$B_{eq} = (8\pi^2/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* a_i.a_j.$ $x y z$ ound (1) 0.4693 (1) 0.2656 (2) 0.74183 (4) -0.0810 (1) 0.1414 (1) 0.65684 (3) 0.1339 (1) 0.6514 (1) 0.66418 (4) 0.4139 (1) 0.1160 (2) 0.54671 (4) 0.4139 (2) 0.1913 (2) 0.74752 (5) 0.2837 (2) 0.1151 (2) 0.79601 (5) 0.1252 (2) 0.0479 (2) 0.79757 (5) -0.0007 (2) 0.0537 (2) 0.75240 (6) 0.0342 (2) 0.1289 (2) 0.70384 (5) 0.1947 (2) 0.1978 (2) 0.70038 (4) 0.2279 (2) 0.2778 (2) 0.65010 (4) 0.2468 (2) 0.3417 (2) 0.65010 (4) 0.2468 (2) 0.3417 (2) 0.55576 (4) 0.1800 (2) 0.5962 (2) 0.55539 (5) 0.1599 (2) 0.6883 (2) 0.50673 (6) 0.2087 (2) 0.6225 (2) 0.45924 (5) 0.3011 (2) 0.3721 (2) 0.5073 (5) 0.3001 (2) 0.5025 (3) 0.65945 (6) 0.0673 (2) 0.2625 (3) 0.65945 (6) 0.0673 (2) 0.2625 (3) 0.65945 (6) 0.0673 (2) 0.3253 (2) 0.764 (1) 0.3672 (1) 0.8688 (2) 0.6686 (1) 0.54049 (9) 0.7599 (2) 0.9568 (1) 0.31651 (9) 0.7031 (2) 0.721 (2) 0.6225 (9) 0.4573 (2) 0.7721 (2) 0.6725 (1) 0.4153 (2) 0.771 (2) 0.5073 (5) 0.3015 (2) 0.7225 (9) 0.4573 (2) 0.7721 (2) 0.721 (1) 0.6626 (2) 0.771 (2) 0.6827 (1) 0.4153 (2) 0.7721 (2) 0.721 (2) 0.721 (2) 0.7225 (9) 0.4573 (2) 0.7721 (2) 0.6827 (1) 0.6771 (3) 0.7459 (2) 0.6725 (1) 0.7212 (2) 0.7085 (2) 0.5588 (1) 0.4153 (2) 0.714 (1) 0.4584 (1) 0.6636 (2) 0.6964 (2) 0.3404 (1)				

# THREE ISOMERS OF C18H16O5

C8 C9 C10 C11 C12	0.6759 (2) 0.7168 (2) 0.7247 (2) 0.7516 (2) 0.7755 (2)	0.5643 0.5567 0.4175 0.4123 0.5440	$\begin{array}{cccc} (2) & 0.26 \\ (2) & 0.14 \\ (2) & 0.05 \\ (2) & -0.05 \\ (2) & -0.08 \\ \end{array}$	18(1) 66(1) 81(1) 42(1) 00(1)	3.89 (3) 3.58 (3) 3.82 (3) 4.30 (3) 4.62 (3)	05—C18 C1—C2 C1—C6 C2—C3 C3—C4	1.426 (2) 1.389 (2) 1.401 (2) 1.374 (3)	C11—C12 C12—C13 C13—C14 C14—C15	1.385 (3) 1.370 (2) 1.401 (2) 1.462 (2)
C13 C14 C15 C16 C17	0.7728 (2) 0.7428 (2) 0.7364 (2) 0.1980 (2) 0.9791 (3)	0.6824 0.6877 0.8340 0.8306 0.6389	$\begin{array}{c} (2) & 0.00, \\ (2) & 0.119 \\ (2) & 0.209 \\ (2) & 0.372 \\ (2) & 0.644 \\ (2) & 0.644 \\ (2) & 0.644 \\ (2) & 0.644 \\ (3) & 0.6$	55 (1) 90 (1) 99 (1) 57 (2) 05 (2)	4.49 (3) 3.87 (3) 4.34 (3) 6.18 (5) 5.63 (4)	C1	118.2 (1) 117.4 (1) 123.7 (1) 117.5 (1) 123.8 (2)	C6—C7—C8 C7—C8—C9 C8—C9—C10 C8—C9—C14 C10—C9—C14	127.3 (2) 119.7 (2) 121.8 (1) 120.0 (1) 118 2 (1)
Compour	0./136(3)	0.1516	(2) 0.00	66 (2)	5.61 (5)	01	115.4 (1)	O5-C10-C9	115.4 (1)
O1	0.6333 (2)	0.3494	(1) 0.152	240 (9)	6.42 (3)	C1-C2-C3	120.8 (2)	C9-C10-C11	124.7 (1) 119.9 (2)
O2 O3	0.0941 (2)	0.1098	(1) 0.343 (1) 0.233	304 (9) 357 (0)	5.88 (3)	C2C3C4	122.2 (2)	C10-C11-C12	120.7 (1)
04	0.2898 (1)	0.5084	4 (8) 0.265	571 (7)	4.24 (2)	02—C5—C4	119.2 (2)	C11C12C13 C12C13C14	120.8 (2) 118.7 (2)
O5 Cl	0.3269 (2)	0.2195	7 (9) 0.617 (2) 0.142	740 (7)	4.72 (2)	02—C5—C6	116.1 (1)	C9-C14-C13	121.6(1)
C2	0.5140 (3)	0.2369	(2) 0.042	23 (1)	7.38 (5)	C1-C6-C5	118.9 (1)	C13-C14-C15	119.2 (1)
C3 C4	0.3803 (4)	0.1561	(2) 0.044	46 (1)	8.42 (5)	C1C6C7	119.5 (1)	03-C15-04	117.3 (1)
C5	0.2269 (2)	0.1494	(1) 0.141	10(1)	5.06 (3)	04—C7—C6	121.6(1)	03 - C15 - C14 04 - C15 - C14	126.1 (2) 116.6 (1)
C6 C7	0.3593 (2)	0.2315	(1) 0.242	28 (1)	4.38 (3)	O4—C7—C8	120.7 (1)		
C8	0.3449 (2)	0.2642	(1) 0.353 (1) 0.363	30 (1) 387 (9)	3.94 (2) 3.60 (2)	Compound (3)	1 2(1 (2)	<b>64</b> 65	
C9	0.2798 (2)	0.4331	(1) 0.468	309 (9)	3.51 (2)	01C16	1.361 (2)	C4—C5 C5—C6	1.395 (2) 1.399 (2)
C10 C11	0.2831 (2)	0.3593	(1) 0.587 (1) 0.666	/6(1) 53(1)	3.73 (2) 4 28 (3)	O2—C5	1.362 (2)	C6—C7	1.478 (2)
C12	0.1970 (2)	0.5791	(1) 0.626	56 (1)	4.56 (3)	02C17 03C15	1.424 (3)	C7—C8 C8—C9	1.323 (2)
C13 C14	0.1936 (2)	0.6526	(1) 0.509 (1) 0.431	93(1)	4.46 (3) 3.82 (2)	O4C8	1.402 (1)	C9-C10	1.395 (1)
C15	0.2407 (2)	0.6232	(1) 0.303	32 (1)	4.31 (3)	04—C15 05—C10	1.375 (2)	C9-C14	1.383 (2)
C16 C17	0.7833(3) -0.0634(3)	0.4001	(2)  0.054 (2)  0.343	42 (2) 87 (2)	8.65 (6)	O5-C18	1.429 (2)	C11—C12	1.393 (2)
C18	0.3429 (3)	0.1429	(2) 0.738	34 (1)	5.45 (4)	C1C2 C1C6	1.395 (3)	C12—C13 C13—C14	1.372 (2)
Table 2. Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°)			C2—C3 C3—C4	1.377 (4) 1.372 (2)	C14—C15	1.461 (2)			
Compound	d (1)					C1	119.7 (2) 118.6 (1)	04—C8—C9 C7—C8—C9	107.7 (1) 131.00 (9)
01C1 01C16		1.357 (2) 1 434 (2)	C4—C5 C5—C6		1.388 (2)	C8-04-C15	109.2 (1)	C8-C9-C10	132.2 (1)
02—C5		1.365 (1)	C6—C7		1.438 (2)	01-C1-C2	117.7 (1) 124.2 (1)	C8-C9-C14 C10-C9-C14	107.49 (9) 120 3 (1)
02—C17 O3—C10		1.433 (2) 1.362 (2)	C7—C8 C8—C9		1.191 (2)	01—C1—C6	115.7 (1)	O5-C10-C9	117.2 (1)
O3-C18		1.427 (2)	C9-C10		1.401 (2)	$C_2 = C_1 = C_6$ $C_1 = C_2 = C_3$	120.2 (2)	05C10C11 C9C10C11	125.4 (1) 117 5 (1)
04—C15 05—C15		1.236 (2)	C9C14 C10C11		1.412 (2)	C2C3C4	123.0 (2)	C10-C11-C12	120.9 (1)
C1—C2		1.391 (2)	C11—C12		1.373 (2)	02—C5—C4	118.2 (2) 124.0 (2)	C11—C12—C13 C12—C13—C14	122.0(1) 1167(1)
C1C6 C2C3		1.406 (2) 1.374 (2)	C12—C13 C13—C14		1.366 (3)	O2—C5—C6	115.0(1)	C9-C14-C13	122.6(1)
C3C4		1.380 (2)	C14—C15		1.476 (2)	C4C5C6 C1C6C5	121.0 (1) 119.0 (1)	C9-C14-C15 C13-C14-C15	107.6(1) 129.7(1)
C1010	216	117.3 (1)	67-68-69		171.0 (1)	C1-C6-C7	122.9 (1)	03-C15-O4	120.6 (1)
C5	217	117.5 (1)	C8-C9-C10	D	117.3 (1)	CS-C6-C7 C6-C7-C8	118.1 (1) 126.5 (1)	O3-C15-C14 O4-C15-C14	131.4 (1) 108 03 (9)
01	-C18 C2	118.4 (1) 124.2 (1)	C8C9C14 C10C9C	4 14	124.5 (1) 118 1 (1)	O4—C8—C7	121.2 (1)		100.05 (7)
01	26	115.3 (1)	03-C10-C	9	115.3 (1)	The structure of	(2) was solve	d using SHELXS8	6 (Sheldrick,
$C_{1}$ $C_{1}$ $C_{2}$ $C_{1}$ $C_{2}$ $C_{2}$ $C_{1}$ $C_{2}$ $C_{2$	.6 .3	120.5 (1)	03	11 11	123.7 (1) 120.9 (1)	1990). The struct	ures of (1) an	d (3) were solved	using MUL-
C2C3C	24	122.0 (1)	C10-C11-C	212	119.9 (2)	TAN11/82 (Main,	Fiske, Hull, L	essinger, Germain	, Declercq &
02	.5 C4	119.0(1)	CII-CI2-C CI2-CI3-C	213 214	120.6 (1) 120.9 (1)	1990) were used	for all other of	vonius <i>MolE</i> /v pro	ograms (Fair,
02	6	115.4 (1)	C9-C14-C1	13	119.5 (1)			alculations.	
C1-C6-C	25	120.8 (1)	C13-C14-C1	15 215	122.3 (1) 118.2 (1)	Lists of structure	factors, anisotro	pic displacement n	arameters H-
C1C6C	7	121.3 (1)	04-C15-O	5	121.6 (2)	atom coordinates, l	east-squares-pla	nes data and torsio	n angles have
C6-C7-C	.7 28	120.1 (1)	04—C15—C1 05—C15—C1	14 14	123.4 (1) 115.0 (1)	been deposited with	h the IUCr (F	leference: BK1010).	Copies may
Compound	I (2)	.,				Crystallography, 5 /	Abbev Square.	Chester CH1 2HU. F	nal Union of England.
01-C1		1.362 (2)	C4C5		1.393 (2)		,,,		
02—C5		1.364 (2)	C5C8 C6C7		1.405 (2)	References			
02—C17		1.422 (2)	C7—C8		1.324 (2)	Baldwin, J. F. (1976	). J. Chem Soc	Chem Commun pp	734-736
04—C7		1.393 (2)	C9—C10		1.447 (2)	Bürgi, HB. & Dun	itz, J. D. (1983)	Acc. Chem. Res. 10	<b>6</b> , 153–161.
04-C15 05-C10		1.366 (2)	C9-C14		1.391 (2)	Dykstra, C. E., Ardu	iengo, A. J. & F	Fukunage, T. (1978).	J. Am. Chem.
05-010		1.505 (2)			1.378(2)	<i>SUC.</i> <b>100</b> , 000/60	<i>912</i> .		

986

Eisenstein, O., Procter, G. & Dunitz, J. D. (1978). *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 61, 2538–2541.

- Evans, K. L., Horn, G. W., Fronczek, F. R. & Gandour, R. D. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 502–504.
- Evans, K. L., Oliver, M. A., Rosas-García & Gandour, R. D. (1994). Unpublished work.
- Evans, K. L., Prince, P., Huang, E. T., Boss, K. R. & Gandour, R. D. (1990). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **31**, 6753–6756.
- Fair, C. K. (1990). MolEN. An Interactive Intelligent System for Crystal Structure Analysis. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Houk, K. N., Rondan, N. G., Schleyer, P. v. R., Kaufmann, E. & Clark, T. (1985). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107, 2821-2823.
- Huang, E. T., Evans, K. L., Fronczek, F. R. & Gandour, R. D. (1992). Acta Cryst. C48, 765–767.
- Ivanchikova, I. D., Usubalieva, G. É., Schastnev, P. V., Moroz, A. A. & Shvartsberg, M. S. (1992). Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR Div. Chem. Sci. (Engl. Transl.), 41, 1672–1679.
- Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1982). MULTAN11/82. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- Prince, P., Miller, J. A., Fronczek, F. R. & Gandour, R. D. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 1086–1087.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sinnott, M. L. (1988). Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 24, 113-204.
- Strozier, R. W., Caramella, P. & Houk, K. N. (1979). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101, 1340–1343.
- Wang, Y., Cheng, M. C., Lin, L. C., Koh, V. C. & Yang, M. (1985). Acta Cryst. C41, 924–926.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 987-989

## *endo*-1,10,11,12,13,13-Hexachlorotricyclo[8.2.1.0<sup>2,9</sup>]trideca-11-ene

J. GABRIEL GARCIA\*

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, UC Berkeley, MS 55-121, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

FRANK R. FRONCZEK

Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803-1804, USA

(Received 5 April 1994; accepted 11 October 1994)

## Abstract

In *endo*-1,10,11,12,13,13-hexachlorotricyclo[ $8.2.1.0^{2,9}$ ]-trideca-11-ene, C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub>, the cyclooctane ring adopts a twist-chair conformation. There is disorder involving the two outermost C atoms of the eight-membered ring in which a conformer having the opposite twist handedness has 15% population. The near-zero torsion angle of the twist-chair at the ring-fusion bond has a magnitude of 2.3 (4)°. The torsion angles about the bonds comprising

© 1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved the sides of the twist-chair for the major conformer are 81.1(4) and  $-51.9(4)^{\circ}$ . The norbornene C=C bond length is 1.331(4) Å.

## Comment

The crystal structures of a number of Diels-Alder substituted cycloocta(e)ne and cyclohexa(e)ne adducts have been determined in our laboratories in the past three years (Garcia & McLaughlin, 1991; Garcia, Fronczek & McLaughlin, 1991*a,b,c*, 1992*a,b*; Garcia, McLaughlin & Fronczek, 1991*a,b*; Li, Fronczek & McLaughlin, 1992; Garcia, Morales, Fronczek & McLaughlin, 1994; Garcia & Fronczek, 1994, 1995) on account of a study of conformation-dependent  $\pi$ - $\sigma$ - $\pi$  electronic interactions (Garcia, Fronczek & McLaughlin, 1991*a*; Garcia & McLaughlin, 1991; Garcia & Fronczek, 1994). The crystal structure determination of the title compound, (I), is part of an ongoing program of structure analysis of some new derivatives bearing the cyclooctane moiety.



Structural data for endo-endo-anti-1,6,7,8,9,14,15,16octachloro-17,17,18,18-tetramethoxypentacyclo[12.2.1.-1<sup>6,9</sup>.0<sup>2,13</sup>.0<sup>5,10</sup> loctadeca-7,15-diene (Garcia, McLaughlin & Fronczek. 1991a). endo-endo-anti-17.17.18.18tetramethoxypentacyclo[12.2.1.1<sup>6,9</sup>.0<sup>2,13</sup>.0<sup>5,10</sup>]octadeca-7,15-diene (Garcia, McLaughlin & Fronczek, 1991a), endo-endo-anti-1.6.7.8.9.14.15.16-octachloro-17.17-dimethoxypentacyclo  $[12.2.1, 1^{6,9}, 0^{2,13}, 0^{5,10}]$  octadeca-7.15-diene (Garcia, Fronczek & McLaughlin, 1992b), endo-1,10,11,12-tetrachloro-13,13-dimethoxytricyclo-[8.2.1.0<sup>2,9</sup>]trideca-5,11-diene (Li, Fronczek & McLaughlin, 1992), endo-endo-syn-16,16-dimethoxy-1,5,6,7,-8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 15-decachloropentacyclo [10.2.1.1<sup>5,8</sup>.- $0^{2,11}$ . $0^{4.9}$ ]hexadeca-6,13-diene (Garcia & Fronczek, 1994), endo-endo-syn-1,5,6,7,8,12,13,14-octachloro-15,-15.16.16-tetramethoxypentacyclo $[10.2.1.1^{5,8}.0^{2,11}.0^{4,9}]$ hexadeca-6,13-diene (Garcia & Fronczek, 1994) and endo-endo-syn-4,13-dioxa-1,7,8,9,10,16,17,18-octachloro-19,19,20,20-tetramethoxypentacyclo[14.2.1.1<sup>7,10</sup>.- $0^{2,15}$ . $0^{6,11}$  leicosa-8,17-diene (Garcia & Fronczek, 1995) are in agreement with those of the title compound.

The cyclooctane ring has a twist-chair conformation (Hendrickson, 1967*b*) with bond angles  $\theta_1$  (C2--C1--C8) = 119.5 (2),  $\theta_2$  (C1--C8--C7) = 115.6 (3),  $\theta_3$  (C8--C7--C6) = 116.1 (3),  $\theta_4$  (C7--C6--C5) = 113.4 (4),  $\theta_5$  (C6--C5--C4) = 114.6 (4),  $\theta_6$  (C5--C4--C3) = 114.6 (3),  $\theta_7$  (C4--C3--C2) = 113.8 (2) and  $\theta_8$  (C3--C2--C1) = 118.7 (2)° that are distorted from the theoretical values (Hendrickson, 1967*a*) of the twist-

Elliot, R. J. & Richards, W. G. (1982). J. Mol. Struct. 87, 247-254.